# Angular Momentum

#### Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

April 2, 2015



1 / 30

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

Angular Momentum

April 2, 2015

### Introduction



2/30

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

Angular Momentum

April 2, 2015

イロト イロト イヨト

### Quantum Numbers

- the stationary states of the hydrogen atom are given by three numbers,  $n, \ell$ , and m
- n is the principal quantum number, and determines the energy of the state
- $\bullet \ \ell$  and m are related to the orbital angular momentum



### Angular Momentum

• classically, a particle's angular momentum is given by

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} yp_z - zp_y \\ zp_x - xp_z \\ xp_y - yp_x \end{bmatrix}$$

• now we simply replace classical momentum with the quantum momentum operator

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{\imath}{\hbar} \begin{bmatrix} y \, \partial/\partial z - z \, \partial/\partial y \\ z \, \partial/\partial x - x \, \partial/\partial z \\ x \, \partial/\partial y - y \, \partial/\partial x \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\imath}{\hbar} (\mathbf{r} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla})$$

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

4 / 30

### Eigenvalues



5 / 30

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

Angular Momentum

April 2, 2015

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

# Fundamental Commutation Relations

•  $L_x$  and  $L_y$  do not commute

$$\begin{split} [L_x, L_y] &= [yp_z - zp_y, zp_x - xp_z] \\ &= [yp_z, zp_x] - [yp_z, xp_z] - [zp_y, zp_x] + [zp_y, xp_z] \end{split}$$

• the only terms which fail to commute are  $[x, p_x]$ ,  $[y, p_y]$ , and  $[z, p_z]$ 

$$[L_x, L_y] = yp_x[p_z, z] + xp_y[z, p_z] = i\hbar(xp_y - yp_x) = i\hbar L_z$$
$$[L_x, L_y] = i\hbar L_z; \quad [L_y, L_z] = i\hbar L_x; \quad [L_z, L_x] = i\hbar L_y$$



6 / 30

### Uncertainty Principle

$$\sigma_A^2 \sigma_B^2 \ge \left(\frac{1}{2i} \langle [A, B] \rangle\right)^2$$
$$\sigma_{L_x}^2 \sigma_{L_y}^2 \ge \left(\frac{1}{2i} \langle i\hbar L_z \rangle\right)^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \langle L_z \rangle^2$$
$$\sigma_{L_x} \sigma_{L_y} \ge \frac{\hbar}{2} |\langle L_z \rangle|$$

SWEGO ъ

7 / 30

ъ

April 2, 2015

イロト イロト イヨト

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

### Total Angular Momentum

- since  $L_x$  and  $L_y$  do not commute, there are no eigenfunctions of both  $L_x$  and  $L_y$
- however, the square of the total angular momentum does commute with  $L_x$

$$L^{2} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L} = L_{x}^{2} + L_{y}^{2} + L_{z}^{2}$$
$$[L^{2}, L_{x}] = 0; \quad [L^{2}, L_{y}] = 0; \quad [L^{2}, L_{z}] = 0$$

or

$$[L^2,\mathbf{L}]=\mathbf{0}$$



8 / 30

< <p>I > < </p>

### Ladder Operator

• since  $L^2$  is compatible with each component of **L**, we can hope to find simultaneous eigenstates of  $L^2$  and any given component, say  $L_z$ 

$$L^2 f = \lambda f$$
 and  $L_z f = \mu f$ 

• we define the ladder operator

$$L_{\pm} \equiv L_x \pm \imath L_y$$

$$[L_z, L_{\pm}] = [L_z, L_x] \pm i[L_z, L_y] = i\hbar L_y \pm i(-i\hbar L_x) = \pm \hbar (L_x \pm iL_y)$$
$$[L_z, L_{\pm}] = \pm \hbar L_{\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad [L^2, L_{\pm}] = 0$$



# Ladder Operator and Eigenfunctions

if f is an eigenfunction of L<sup>2</sup> and L<sub>z</sub>, so too is L±f
since L<sup>2</sup> and L± commute,

$$L^2(L_{\pm}f) = L_{\pm}(L^2f) = L_{\pm}(\lambda f) = \lambda(L_{\pm}f)$$

L±f is an eigenfunction of L<sup>2</sup> with eigenvalue λ
since [L<sub>z</sub>, L±] = ±ħL±,

$$L_z(L_{\pm}f) = (L_z L_{\pm} - L_{\pm}L_z)f + L_{\pm}L_z f = \pm \hbar L_{\pm}f + L_{\pm}(\mu f)$$
$$= (\mu \pm \hbar)(L_{\pm}f)$$

• so  $L_{\pm}f$  is an eigenfunction of  $L_z$  with eigenvalue  $\mu \pm \hbar$ 



10 / 30

イロト イロト イヨト

# Raising and Lowering Operators

- $L_{\pm}f$  is an eigenfunction of  $L_z$  with eigenvalue  $\mu \pm \hbar$
- $L_+$  is the "raising" operator, since it increases the eigenvalue of  $L_z$  by  $\hbar$
- $L_{-}$  is the "lowering" operator, since it decreases the eigenvalue of  $L_{z}$  by  $\hbar$
- for a given  $\lambda$ , we obtain a "ladder" of states, with each "rung" separated from its neighbors by  $\hbar$  in the eigenvalue of  $L_z$

11 / 30

イロト イヨト イヨト

# Top Rung

$$L^2 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2$$

- if we allowed the raising operator to be applied forever, eventually we would reach a point where  $L_z > L^2$ , which cannot be
- there must exist a "top rung" of the ladder,  $f_t$ , such that

$$L_+ f_t = 0$$

• let  $\hbar \ell$  be the eigenvalue of  $L_z$  at this top rung

$$L_z f_t = \hbar \ell f_t; \quad L^2 f_t = \lambda f_t$$

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

April 2, 2015

12 / 30

# Top Rung

• now we investigate what happens when one ladder operator is applied to its inverse

$$L_{\pm}L_{\mp} = (L_x \pm iL_y)(L_x \mp iL_y) = L_x^2 + L_y^2 \mp i(L_xL_y - L_yL_x)$$
$$= L^2 - L_z^2 \mp i(i\hbar L_z)$$

• solving for  $L^2$  gives

$$L^2 = L_{\pm}L_{\mp} + L_z^2 \mp \hbar L_z$$

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

13 / 30

Image: A matrix and a matrix

# Top Rung

• we use the bottom of the  $\pm$ , and find that

$$L^{2}f_{t} = (L_{-}L_{+} + L_{z}^{2} + \hbar L_{z})f_{t} = (0 + \hbar^{2}\ell^{2} + \hbar^{2}\ell)f_{t} = \hbar^{2}\ell(\ell+1)f_{t}$$
$$L^{2}f_{t} = \hbar^{2}\ell(\ell+1)f_{t} = \lambda f_{t} \implies \lambda = \hbar^{2}\ell(\ell+1)$$

 $\bullet$  so we have found the eigenvalue of  $L^2$  in terms of the maximum eigenvalue of  $L_z$ 

14 / 30

April 2, 2015

### Bottom Rung

$$L^2 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2$$

• for the same reasons, there must exist a bottom rung,  $f_b$ , such that

$$L_{-}f_{b}=0$$

• let  $\hbar \bar{\ell}$  be the eigenvalue of  $L_z$  at this bottom rung

$$L_z f_b = \hbar \bar{\ell} f_b; \quad L^2 f_b = \lambda f_b$$

15 / 30

A B > A
 B > A
 B
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A

### Bottom Rung

• we now use the top of the ±, where we had previously used the bottom, and find that

$$L^{2}f_{b} = (L_{+}L_{-} + L_{z}^{2} - \hbar L_{z})f_{b} = (0 + \hbar^{2}\bar{\ell}^{2} - \hbar^{2}\bar{\ell})f_{b} = \hbar^{2}\bar{\ell}(\bar{\ell} - 1)f_{b}$$
$$L^{2}f_{b} = \hbar^{2}\bar{\ell}(\bar{\ell} - 1)f_{b} = \lambda f_{b} \implies \lambda = \hbar^{2}\bar{\ell}(\bar{\ell} - 1)$$



16 / 30

A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

April 2, 2015

# Combining the Top and Bottom

• we see that

$$\lambda = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1) = \hbar^2 \bar{\ell}(\bar{\ell}-1) \implies \ell(\ell+1) = \bar{\ell}(\bar{\ell}-1)$$

- there are two possibilities here
- $\bullet \ \bar{\ell} = \ell + 1$

• that would mean the bottom rung is higher than the top! **2**  $\bar{\ell} = -\ell$ 



17 / 30

イロト イロト イヨト

# Eigenvalues of Angular Momentum

- we have just shown that the eigenvalues of  $L_z$  are  $m\hbar$ , where  $m = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \ldots, 1 + \ell, +\ell$
- if we let the number of eigenvalues be N, then  $\ell = -\ell + N$

$$\ell = N/2$$

 $\bullet~\ell$  must be an integer, or a half-integer

$$\ell = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$$

 $\bullet$  the eigenfunctions are characterized by  $\ell$  and m

$$L^2 f_{\ell}^m = \hbar^2 \ell (\ell+1) f_{\ell}^m; \quad L_z f_{\ell}^m = \hbar m f_{\ell}^m$$



18 / 30

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

### Eigenfunctions



Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

Angular Momentum

April 2, 2015

-

• • • • • • • •

• the angular momentum operator is

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{\imath}{\hbar} (\mathbf{r} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla})$$

• in spherical coordinates, the gradient is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} = \hat{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\phi} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

• **r** is simply  $r\hat{r}$ 

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

イロト イヨト イヨト



$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{\hbar}{\imath} \bigg[ r(\hat{r} \times \hat{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + (\hat{r} \times \hat{\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + (\hat{r} \times \hat{\phi}) \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \bigg]$$
  
•  $(\hat{r} \times \hat{r}) = 0, \ (\hat{r} \times \hat{\theta}) = \hat{\phi}, \ \text{and} \ (\hat{r} \times \hat{\phi}) = -\hat{\theta}$   

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{\hbar}{\imath} \bigg( \hat{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \bigg)$$



21 / 30

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

April 2, 2015

E >

Image: A matrix and a matrix

 $\bullet$  write the unit vectors  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\hat{\phi}$  in cartesian coordinates

$$\hat{\theta} = (\cos\theta\cos\phi)\hat{\imath} + (\cos\theta\sin\phi)\hat{\jmath} - (\sin\theta)\hat{k}$$
$$\hat{\phi} = -(\sin\phi)\hat{\imath} + (\cos\phi)\hat{\jmath}$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{\hbar}{\imath} \left[ (-\sin\phi\hat{\imath} + \cos\phi\hat{\jmath}) \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - (\cos\theta\cos\phi\hat{\imath} + \cos\theta\sin\phi\hat{\jmath} - \sin\theta\hat{k}) \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \right]$$

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

- E - E

ъ

• separating the x, y, and z components, we find

$$L_x = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left( -\sin\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \cos\phi \cot\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \right)$$
$$L_y = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left( +\cos\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \sin\phi \cot\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \right)$$
$$L_z = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}$$

### Ladder Operators in Spherical Coordinates

• now we consider the ladder operators

$$L_{\pm} = L_x \pm i L_y = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left[ (-\sin\phi \pm i\cos\phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - (\cos\phi \pm i\sin\phi) \cot\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \right]$$

• by Euler's formula,  $\cos \phi \pm \imath \sin \phi = \exp(\pm \imath \phi)$ 

$$L_{\pm} = \pm \hbar \exp(\pm i\phi) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \pm i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right)$$



### Ladder Operators in Spherical Coordinates

$$L_{+}L_{-} = -\hbar^{2} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} + \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot^{2} \theta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

• recall 
$$L^2 = L_{\pm}L_{\mp} + L_z^2 \mp \hbar L_z$$

$$L^{2} = -\hbar^{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}} \right]$$

**OSWEGO** 

25 / 30

イロト イロト イヨト

April 2, 2015

# Eigenfunctions of $L^2$

• now we apply  $L^2$  to its eigenfunction,  $f_{\ell}^m(\theta, \phi)$ , which has eigenvalue  $\hbar^2 \ell(\ell + 1)$ 

$$L^{2} f_{\ell}^{m} = -\hbar^{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}} \right] f_{\ell}^{m} = \hbar^{2} \ell (\ell + 1) f_{\ell}^{m}$$

• this is simply the angular equation

$$\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left( \sin\theta \frac{\partial y}{\partial\theta} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial\phi^2} = -\ell(\ell+1)\sin^2\theta Y$$



26 / 30

• • • • • • • •

### Eigenfunctions of $L_z$

•  $f_{\ell}^m$  is also an eigenfunction of  $L_z$  with eigenvalue  $m\hbar$ 

$$L_z f_\ell^m = \frac{\hbar}{\imath} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} f_\ell^m = \hbar m f_\ell^m$$

• this is equivalent to the azimuthal equation

$$\frac{1}{\Phi}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Phi}{\mathrm{d}\phi^2} = -m^2$$

**OSWEGO** 

27 / 30

# Spherical Harmonics

- $f_{\ell}^m$  is simply  $Y_{\ell}^m(\theta, \phi)$ , the spherical harmonic (after normalization)
- spherical harmonics are eigenfunctions of  $L^2$  and  $L_z$
- when solving the Schrödinger equation by separation of variables, we "inadvertently" constructed eigenfunctions of the three commuting operators

$$H\psi = E\psi; \quad L^2\psi = \hbar^2\ell(\ell+1)\psi; \quad L_z\psi = \hbar m\psi$$



28 / 30

イロト イロト イヨト

### Schrödinger Equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \right] + V\psi = E\psi$$

• we can now write the Schrödinger equation in this form

$$\frac{1}{2mr^2} \left[ -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + L^2 \right] \psi + V \psi = E \psi$$



29 / 30

A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

April 2, 2015

Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

### Thank You



Daniel Wysocki and Nicholas Jira

Angular Momentum

April 2, 2015

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・