Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 2

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo

February 12, 2015

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 1 / 30

• 3 >

э



- 2 The Delta-Function Potential
- **3** The Finite Square Well

The Free Particle

(< ≥) < ≥)</p>

A B +
 A B +
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

ъ

• the free particle has potential V(x) = 0 everywhere

A 3 >

- the free particle has potential V(x) = 0 everywhere
- classically this would give the particle constant velocity, but it is surprisingly tricky in quantum mechanics

- the free particle has potential V(x) = 0 everywhere
- classically this would give the particle constant velocity, but it is surprisingly tricky in quantum mechanics
- since V = 0, the time-independent Schrödinger wave equation is given by

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\psi}{\mathrm{d}x^2} = E\psi$$

• 3 >

- the free particle has potential V(x) = 0 everywhere
- classically this would give the particle constant velocity, but it is surprisingly tricky in quantum mechanics
- since V = 0, the time-independent Schrödinger wave equation is given by

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\psi}{\mathrm{d}x^2} = E\psi$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\psi}{\mathrm{d}x^2} = -k^2\psi, \quad \text{where } k := \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{\hbar}$$

• this is a differential equation whose characteristic equation has imaginary roots

$$\psi(x) = Ae^{\imath kx} + Be^{-\imath kx}$$

→ ∃→

• this is a differential equation whose characteristic equation has imaginary roots

$$\psi(x) = Ae^{\imath kx} + Be^{-\imath kx}$$

• multiplying by the time dependence $\varphi(t)$, we have the time-dependent wave equation

$$\Psi(x,t) = \left[Ae^{\imath kx} + Be^{-\imath kx}\right] \exp\left(-\frac{\imath E}{\hbar}t\right)$$
$$\Psi(x,t) = A \exp\left[\imath k\left(x - \frac{\hbar k}{2m}t\right)\right] + B \exp\left[-\imath k\left(x + \frac{\hbar k}{2m}t\right)\right]$$

3 × 4 3 ×

• this is a differential equation whose characteristic equation has imaginary roots

$$\psi(x) = Ae^{\imath kx} + Be^{-\imath kx}$$

• multiplying by the time dependence $\varphi(t)$, we have the time-dependent wave equation

$$\Psi(x,t) = \left[Ae^{\imath kx} + Be^{-\imath kx}\right] \exp\left(-\frac{\imath E}{\hbar}t\right)$$
$$\Psi(x,t) = A \exp\left[\imath k\left(x - \frac{\hbar k}{2m}t\right)\right] + B \exp\left[-\imath k\left(x + \frac{\hbar k}{2m}t\right)\right]$$

• the first term represents a wave travelling to the right, and the second to the left

3 × 4 3 ×

• since each wave only differs by the sign of k, it will be useful to redefine k as

$$k := \pm \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{\hbar}$$

ъ

• since each wave only differs by the sign of k, it will be useful to redefine k as

$$k := \pm \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{\hbar}$$

• now we may rewrite the wave function as

$$\Psi_k(x,t) = A \exp\left[\imath \left(kx - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}t\right)\right]$$

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 6 / 30

Normalization

• we cannot normalize Ψ_k , because $\Psi_k^* \Psi_k = |A|^2$, giving

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi_k^* \Psi_k \, \mathrm{d}x = |A|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}x = |A|^2 \cdot \infty$$

프 🖌 🛛 프

• the general solution is still a linear combination of the separable solutions

- the general solution is still a linear combination of the separable solutions
- \bullet this time k is not restricted to integral values, and so the linear combination must be an integral over k

$$\Psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(k) \exp\left[i\left(kx - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}t\right)\right] dk$$

- the general solution is still a linear combination of the separable solutions
- \bullet this time k is not restricted to integral values, and so the linear combination must be an integral over k

$$\Psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(k) \exp\left[i\left(kx - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}t\right)\right] dk$$

• in essence, $(1/\sqrt{2\pi})\phi(k) dk$ is taking the place of the coefficients c_n in the discrete summation

• in a specific problem, we are typically given an initial condition $\Psi(x,0)$, and are asked to find $\Psi(x,t)$

э

-

- in a specific problem, we are typically given an initial condition $\Psi(x,0)$, and are asked to find $\Psi(x,t)$
- we only now have to solve for $\phi(k)$

$$\Psi(x,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(k) e^{ikx} \,\mathrm{d}k$$

• this is a classic problem in Fourier analysis, whose answer is provided by **Plancherel's theorem**

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(k) e^{ikx} \,\mathrm{d}k \iff F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} \,\mathrm{d}x$$

• 3 >

ъ

• this is a classic problem in Fourier analysis, whose answer is provided by **Plancherel's theorem**

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(k) e^{ikx} \,\mathrm{d}k \iff F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} \,\mathrm{d}x$$

• applying this to our problem gives

$$\phi(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(x,0) e^{-ikx} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 10 / 30

→ ∃→

• this is a classic problem in Fourier analysis, whose answer is provided by **Plancherel's theorem**

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(k) e^{ikx} \,\mathrm{d}k \iff F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} \,\mathrm{d}x$$

• applying this to our problem gives

$$\phi(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(x,0) e^{-\imath kx} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

• now we can find $\Psi(x, t)$

de Broglie Wavelength and Speed

• these propogating waves have wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/|k|$, and therefore have momentum $p = \hbar k$

de Broglie Wavelength and Speed

- these propogating waves have wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/|k|$, and therefore have momentum $p = \hbar k$
- the speed of the waves is given by dividing the coefficient of t by that of x in $\Psi_k(x, t)$, giving

$$v_{
m quantum} = rac{\hbar |k|}{2m} = \sqrt{rac{E}{2m}}$$

• 3 > 1

de Broglie Wavelength and Speed

- these propogating waves have wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/|k|$, and therefore have momentum $p = \hbar k$
- the speed of the waves is given by dividing the coefficient of t by that of x in $\Psi_k(x, t)$, giving

$$v_{
m quantum} = rac{\hbar |k|}{2m} = \sqrt{rac{E}{2m}}$$

• this is contrary to *classical* speed, which can be determined, for a free particle, by kinetic energy $E = (1/2)mv^2$

$$v_{\text{classical}} = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}} = 2v_{\text{quantum}}$$

3 × 4 3 ×

Group and Phase Velocity



• the quantum velocity corresponds to the *phase velocity*, the velocity of the individual ripples

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 12 / 30

Group and Phase Velocity



- the quantum velocity corresponds to the *phase velocity*, the velocity of the individual ripples
- the classical velocity corresponds to the *group velocity*, the velocity of the *envelope*

The Delta-Function Potential

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 13 / 30

A 3 >

• imagine a particle in a one-dimensional, time-independent potential well, $V(\boldsymbol{x})$

- imagine a particle in a one-dimensional, time-independent potential well, V(x)
- in the *classical* realm, if V(x) at a point is greater than the particle's total energy E, it may never pass that point

- imagine a particle in a one-dimensional, time-independent potential well, V(x)
- in the *classical* realm, if V(x) at a point is greater than the particle's total energy E, it may never pass that point
 - turning points

- imagine a particle in a one-dimensional, time-independent potential well, V(x)
- in the *classical* realm, if V(x) at a point is greater than the particle's total energy E, it may never pass that point
 - turning points
- if the particle is on some interval (a, b), and both V(a) and V(b) exceed E, then the particle is "stuck"

- imagine a particle in a one-dimensional, time-independent potential well, V(x)
- in the *classical* realm, if V(x) at a point is greater than the particle's total energy E, it may never pass that point
 - turning points
- if the particle is on some interval (a, b), and both V(a) and V(b) exceed E, then the particle is "stuck"
 - bound state

3 × 4 3 ×

- imagine a particle in a one-dimensional, time-independent potential well, V(x)
- in the *classical* realm, if V(x) at a point is greater than the particle's total energy E, it may never pass that point
 - turning points
- if the particle is on some interval (a, b), and both V(a) and V(b) exceed E, then the particle is "stuck"
 - bound state
- if, on either side of the particle, E exceeds all values of V(x), then the particle will go off to infinity

- imagine a particle in a one-dimensional, time-independent potential well, V(x)
- in the *classical* realm, if V(x) at a point is greater than the particle's total energy E, it may never pass that point
 - turning points
- if the particle is on some interval (a, b), and both V(a) and V(b) exceed E, then the particle is "stuck"
 - bound state
- if, on either side of the particle, E exceeds all values of V(x), then the particle will go off to infinity
 - scattering state

Classical Bound and Scattering states



Quantum Bound States and Scattering States

• in the *quantum* realm, a particle may *tunnel* through any finite potential barrier

• 3 >
Quantum Bound States and Scattering States

- in the *quantum* realm, a particle may *tunnel* through any finite potential barrier
- now all that matters is the potential at infinity

$$\begin{cases} E < [V(-\infty) \text{ and } V(+\infty)] \implies \text{ bound state,} \\ E > [V(-\infty) \text{ or } V(+\infty)] \implies \text{ scattering state.} \end{cases}$$

Quantum Bound States and Scattering States

- in the *quantum* realm, a particle may *tunnel* through any finite potential barrier
- now all that matters is the potential at infinity

$$\begin{cases} E < [V(-\infty) \text{ and } V(+\infty)] \implies \text{ bound state,} \\ E > [V(-\infty) \text{ or } V(+\infty)] \implies \text{ scattering state.} \end{cases}$$

• in practice, most potentials go to *zero* at infinity, simplifying the criterion to

$$\begin{cases} E < 0 \implies \text{ bound state,} \\ E > 0 \implies \text{ scattering state.} \end{cases}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Quantum Bound States and Scattering States



• *bound* state for classical particle, but *scattering* state for quantum particle



• the **Dirac delta function** has infinite height, infinitesimal width, and an *area* of 1

$$\delta(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \infty, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}, \text{ with } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1.$$

A 3 >

ъ

• $\delta(x-a)$ would be a spike of area 1 at the point a

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny RoffoQuantum Mechanics - Chapter 2February 12, 201519 / 30

3 1 4 3 1

3

- $\delta(x-a)$ would be a spike of area 1 at the point a
- multiplying by a function f(x) is equivalent to multiplying by f(a), as it is zero everywhere outside of a

• as an example, consider a potential $V(x) = -\alpha \delta(x)$

3

- as an example, consider a potential $V(x) = -\alpha \delta(x)$
- the wave function has exactly one bound state, regardless of the magnitude of α

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{m\alpha}}{\hbar} e^{-m\alpha |x|/\hbar^2}; \quad E = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2\hbar^2}$$

A 3 >

- as an example, consider a potential $V(x) = -\alpha \delta(x)$
- the wave function has exactly one bound state, regardless of the magnitude of α

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{m\alpha}}{\hbar} e^{-m\alpha|x|/\hbar^2}; \quad E = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2\hbar^2}$$

• by considering the scattering state, we encounter several waves

- as an example, consider a potential $V(x) = -\alpha \delta(x)$
- the wave function has exactly one bound state, regardless of the magnitude of α

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{m\alpha}}{\hbar} e^{-m\alpha|x|/\hbar^2}; \quad E = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2\hbar^2}$$

- by considering the scattering state, we encounter several waves
 - incident wave

- as an example, consider a potential $V(x) = -\alpha \delta(x)$
- the wave function has exactly one bound state, regardless of the magnitude of α

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{m\alpha}}{\hbar} e^{-m\alpha|x|/\hbar^2}; \quad E = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2\hbar^2}$$

- by considering the scattering state, we encounter several waves
 - incident wave
 - reflected wave

- as an example, consider a potential $V(x) = -\alpha \delta(x)$
- the wave function has exactly one bound state, regardless of the magnitude of α

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{m\alpha}}{\hbar} e^{-m\alpha|x|/\hbar^2}; \quad E = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2\hbar^2}$$

- by considering the scattering state, we encounter several waves
 - incident wave
 - reflected wave
 - transmitted wave

Reflection and Transmission

• R is the fraction of incoming particles that will bounce back

3 . 3

Reflection and Transmission

- *R* is the fraction of incoming particles that will bounce back
- T is the fraction of incoming that will pass through the barrier

$$R + T = 1$$

$$R = \frac{1}{1 + (2\hbar^2 E/m\alpha^2)}, \quad T = \frac{1}{1 + (m\alpha^2/2\hbar^2 E)}.$$

Reflection and Transmission

- R is the fraction of incoming particles that will bounce back
- T is the fraction of incoming that will pass through the barrier

$$R + T = 1$$

$$R = \frac{1}{1 + (2\hbar^2 E/m\alpha^2)}, \quad T = \frac{1}{1 + (m\alpha^2/2\hbar^2 E)}.$$

• the probability of transmission is proportional to the energy

The Finite Square Well

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics - Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 22 / 30

<回> < 三> < 三>

ъ

• consider the *finite* square well potential, where V_0 is a positive real potential

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} -V_0, & \text{for } -a \le x \le a, \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| > a, \end{cases}$$

3.5.4.3.5

3

General Solution

• the general solution is given by

$$\begin{cases} Fe^{-\kappa x}, & \text{for } x > a, \\ D\cos(lx), & \text{for } 0 < x < a, \\ \psi(-x), & \text{for } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

A 3 >

3

General Solution

• the general solution is given by

$$\begin{cases} Fe^{-\kappa x}, & \text{for } x > a, \\ D\cos(lx), & \text{for } 0 < x < a, \\ \psi(-x), & \text{for } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

• continuity of $\psi(x)$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}x}$ at the boundaries imply $\kappa = l \tan(la)$, where

$$\kappa := \frac{\sqrt{-2mE}}{\hbar}$$
$$l := \frac{\sqrt{2m(E+V_0)}}{\hbar}$$

→ 프 → - 프

• κ and l are both functions of E, so to solve for E we first define:

$$z := la$$
, and $z_0 := \frac{a}{\hbar} \sqrt{2mV_0}$.

• κ and l are both functions of E, so to solve for E we first define:

$$z := la$$
, and $z_0 := \frac{a}{\hbar} \sqrt{2mV_0}$.

• these can be rewritten as a transcendental equation

$$\tan z = \sqrt{(z_0/z)^2 - 1}.$$

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 25 / 30

• κ and l are both functions of E, so to solve for E we first define:

$$z := la$$
, and $z_0 := \frac{a}{\hbar} \sqrt{2mV_0}$.

• these can be rewritten as a transcendental equation

$$\tan z = \sqrt{(z_0/z)^2 - 1}.$$

• can only be solved numerically



Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics - Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 26 / 30

프 🖌 🛛 프

• if z_0 is very large, the intersections occur just below $z_n = n\pi/2$, where n is odd

$$E_n + V_0 \cong \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{n\pi\hbar}{2a}\right)^2$$

3 × 4 3 ×

3

• if z_0 is very large, the intersections occur just below $z_n = n\pi/2$, where n is odd

$$E_n + V_0 \cong \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{n\pi\hbar}{2a}\right)^2$$

• there are a finite number of bound states, but as $V_0 \to \infty$, it approaches the infinite square well, with infinite bound states

Shallow, Narrow Well

 \bullet as z_0 decreases, so too does the number of bound states

3 N A 3 N

3

Shallow, Narrow Well

- as z_0 decreases, so too does the number of bound states
- this reaches a limit at $z_0 < \pi/2$, where the lowest *odd* state disappears, leaving a single state

Shallow, Narrow Well

- as z_0 decreases, so too does the number of bound states
- this reaches a limit at $z_0 < \pi/2$, where the lowest *odd* state disappears, leaving a single state
- no matter how small z_0 becomes, the number of bound states is always at least one

Transmission



$$T^{-1} = 1 + \frac{V_0^2}{4E(E+V_0)} \sin^2\left(\frac{2a}{\hbar}\sqrt{2m(E+V_0)}\right)$$

• when the sine is zero, T = 1 (the well becomes "transparent") leaving us with

$$E_n + V_0 = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2m(2a)^2}$$

Transmission



$$T^{-1} = 1 + \frac{V_0^2}{4E(E+V_0)} \sin^2\left(\frac{2a}{\hbar}\sqrt{2m(E+V_0)}\right)$$

• when the sine is zero, T = 1 (the well becomes "transparent") leaving us with

$$E_n + V_0 = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2m(2a)^2}$$

• these are the allowed energies of the infinite square well

Thank you!

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics - Chapter 2 February 12, 2015 30 / 30

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

Э.