Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 3

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo

February 19, 2015

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 3 February 19, 2015 1 / 17

A 3 >

2



2 Observables

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics - Chapter 3 February 19, 2015 2 / 17

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 3 February 19, 2015 3 / 17

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

• quantum theory is based on *wave functions* and *operators*

A B +
 A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

ъ

3 × 4 3 ×

- quantum theory is based on *wave functions* and *operators*
- the state of a system is represented by its wave function

3) 3

- quantum theory is based on *wave functions* and *operators*
- the state of a system is represented by its wave function
- observables are represented by operators

• 3 >

- quantum theory is based on *wave functions* and *operators*
- the state of a system is represented by its wave function
- observables are represented by operators
- wave functions satisfy the defining conditions for *abstract vectors*

- quantum theory is based on *wave functions* and *operators*
- the state of a system is represented by its wave function
- observables are represented by operators
- wave functions satisfy the defining conditions for *abstract vectors*
- operators act on them as *linear transformations*

Vectors

• in an N-dimensional space, a vector $|\alpha\rangle$ may be represented by the N-tuple of its components, $\{a_n\}$, with respect to a specified orthonormal basis

$$\left. lpha
ight
angle
ightarrow \mathbf{a} = \left(egin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{array}
ight)$$

Vectors

• in an N-dimensional space, a vector $|\alpha\rangle$ may be represented by the N-tuple of its components, $\{a_n\}$, with respect to a specified orthonormal basis

$$\left| \alpha \right\rangle o \mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{pmatrix}$$

• the "vectors" in quantum mechanics are typically functions, existing in *infinite*-dimensional spaces

Vectors

• in an N-dimensional space, a vector $|\alpha\rangle$ may be represented by the N-tuple of its components, $\{a_n\}$, with respect to a specified orthonormal basis

$$\left. \alpha \right\rangle \to \mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{pmatrix}$$

- the "vectors" in quantum mechanics are typically functions, existing in *infinite*-dimensional spaces
 - $\bullet\,$ the $N\mbox{-tuple}$ notation used to represent finite-dimensional vectors becomes problematic

B 1 4 B 1 B

Bra-ket Notation

• the inner product of two vectors, $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$, is a generalization of the dot product, and is denoted $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = a_1^* b_1 + a_2^* b_2 + \ldots + a_N^* b_N$$

Bra-ket Notation

• the inner product of two vectors, $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$, is a generalization of the dot product, and is denoted $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = a_1^* b_1 + a_2^* b_2 + \ldots + a_N^* b_N$$

• here, $\langle \alpha |$ is called the "bra", and $|\beta \rangle$ is called the "ket"

Bra-ket Notation

 the inner product of two vectors, |α⟩ and |β⟩, is a generalization of the dot product, and is denoted ⟨α|β⟩

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = a_1^* b_1 + a_2^* b_2 + \ldots + a_N^* b_N$$

- here, $\langle \alpha |$ is called the "bra", and $|\beta \rangle$ is called the "ket"
- when α and β are functions on the interval (a, b), the inner product is given by the familiar integral

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} \alpha(x)^{*} \beta(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

• a wave function must be normalized, i.e.

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

A 3 >

2

• a wave function must be normalized, i.e.

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

• a function, f(x) is square-integrable if, on a specified interval (a, b)

$$\langle f|f\rangle = \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

∃ >

• a wave function must be normalized, i.e.

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

• a function, f(x) is square-integrable if, on a specified interval (a, b)

$$\langle f|f\rangle = \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

• the set of all such functions is called *Hilbert space*

• a wave function must be normalized, i.e.

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

• a function, f(x) is square-integrable if, on a specified interval (a, b)

$$\langle f|f\rangle = \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

- the set of all such functions is called *Hilbert space*
 - mathematicians call this $L_2(a, b)$

• a wave function must be normalized, i.e.

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

• a function, f(x) is square-integrable if, on a specified interval (a, b)

$$\langle f|f\rangle = \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

- the set of all such functions is called *Hilbert space*
 - mathematicians call this $L_2(a, b)$
- wave functions live in Hilbert space

• the integral Schwarz inequality states

$$\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{*}g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \sqrt{\int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \int_{a}^{b} |g(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x}$$

ъ

2

• the integral Schwarz inequality states

$$\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{*} g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \sqrt{\int_{a}^{b} \left|f(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \int_{a}^{b} \left|g(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}x}$$

• all functions that live in Hilbert space are square integrable

э

• the integral Schwarz inequality states

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{*} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \sqrt{\int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \int_{a}^{b} |g(x)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x}$$

- all functions that live in Hilbert space are square integrable
 - they have finite square integrals

• the integral Schwarz inequality states

$$\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{*} g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \sqrt{\int_{a}^{b} \left|f(x)\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \int_{a}^{b} \left|g(x)\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x}$$

- all functions that live in Hilbert space are square integrable
 - they have finite square integrals
- as a result, the right the right hand side of the Schwarz inequality is guaranteed to be finite for all functions $f, g \in L_2(a, b)$

• the integral Schwarz inequality states

$$\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{*} g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \sqrt{\int_{a}^{b} \left|f(x)\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \int_{a}^{b} \left|g(x)\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x}$$

- all functions that live in Hilbert space are square integrable
 - they have finite square integrals
- as a result, the right the right hand side of the Schwarz inequality is guaranteed to be finite for all functions $f, g \in L_2(a, b)$
- the left side, or the magnitude of the inner product of our two functions, must be finite as well

 \bullet if f and g are both square-integrable, then $\langle f | g \rangle$ is guaranteed to exist

ъ

 \bullet if f and g are both square-integrable, then $\langle f | g \rangle$ is guaranteed to exist

• $\langle g|f\rangle = \langle f|g\rangle^*$

프 🖌 🛛 프

- \bullet if f and g are both square-integrable, then $\langle f | g \rangle$ is guaranteed to exist
- $\langle g|f\rangle = \langle f|g\rangle^*$
- $\langle f|f\rangle$ is real and non-negative, and zero only if $f(x)\equiv 0$

- \bullet if f and g are both square-integrable, then $\langle f | g \rangle$ is guaranteed to exist
- $\langle g|f\rangle = \langle f|g\rangle^*$
- $\langle f|f\rangle$ is real and non-negative, and zero only if $f(x) \equiv 0$
- f is normalized if $\langle f|f\rangle = 1$

(3)

- \bullet if f and g are both square-integrable, then $\langle f | g \rangle$ is guaranteed to exist
- $\langle g|f\rangle = \langle f|g\rangle^*$
- $\langle f|f\rangle$ is real and non-negative, and zero only if $f(x) \equiv 0$
- f is normalized if $\langle f|f\rangle = 1$
- f and g are orthogonal if $\langle f|g\rangle = 0$

(3)

- if f and g are both square-integrable, then $\langle f | g \rangle$ is guaranteed to exist
- $\langle g|f\rangle = \langle f|g\rangle^*$
- $\langle f|f\rangle$ is real and non-negative, and zero only if $f(x) \equiv 0$
- f is normalized if $\langle f|f\rangle = 1$
- f and g are orthogonal if $\langle f|g\rangle = 0$
- a set of functions $\{f_n\}$ is orthonormal if $\langle f_m | f_n \rangle = \delta_{mn}$

문에 수준에 문

• a set of functions $\{f_n\}$ is said to be *complete* if any *other* function in Hilbert space can be expressed as a linear combination of them

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n f_n(x)$$

→ ∃ →

• a set of functions $\{f_n\}$ is said to be *complete* if any *other* function in Hilbert space can be expressed as a linear combination of them

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n f_n(x)$$

• if the functions $\{f_n\}$ are orthonormal, then their coefficients may be obtained by Fourier's trick: $c_n = \langle f_n | f \rangle$

• a set of functions $\{f_n\}$ is said to be *complete* if any *other* function in Hilbert space can be expressed as a linear combination of them

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n f_n(x)$$

- if the functions $\{f_n\}$ are orthonormal, then their coefficients may be obtained by Fourier's trick: $c_n = \langle f_n | f \rangle$
- the stationary states $\{\psi_n\}$ for the infinite square well form a complete orthonormal set on the interval (0, a)

• a set of functions $\{f_n\}$ is said to be *complete* if any *other* function in Hilbert space can be expressed as a linear combination of them

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n f_n(x)$$

- if the functions $\{f_n\}$ are orthonormal, then their coefficients may be obtained by Fourier's trick: $c_n = \langle f_n | f \rangle$
- the stationary states $\{\psi_n\}$ for the infinite square well form a complete orthonormal set on the interval (0, a)
- the stationary states for the harmonic oscillator form a complete orthonormal set on the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$

イボト イヨト イヨト ニヨ

Observables

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 3 February 19, 2015 11 / 17

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

ъ.

• the expectation value of an operator Q(x, p) can be expressed as

$$\langle Q \rangle = \int \Psi^* \hat{Q} \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \left\langle \Psi \middle| \hat{Q} \Psi \right\rangle$$

• 3 >

2

• the expectation value of an operator Q(x, p) can be expressed as

$$\langle Q \rangle = \int \Psi^* \hat{Q} \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \left\langle \Psi \middle| \hat{Q} \Psi \right\rangle$$

• the outcome of a measurement must be real, therefore $\langle Q \rangle = \langle Q \rangle^*$

• 3 >

3

• the expectation value of an operator Q(x, p) can be expressed as

$$\langle Q \rangle = \int \Psi^* \hat{Q} \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \left\langle \Psi \middle| \hat{Q} \Psi \right\rangle$$

- the outcome of a measurement must be real, therefore $\langle Q \rangle = \langle Q \rangle^*$
- but the complex conjugate reverses the order, meaning $\langle \Psi | \hat{Q} \Psi \rangle = \langle \hat{Q} \Psi | \Psi \rangle$

→ ∃ →

• the expectation value of an operator Q(x, p) can be expressed as

$$\langle Q \rangle = \int \Psi^* \hat{Q} \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \left\langle \Psi \middle| \hat{Q} \Psi \right\rangle$$

- the outcome of a measurement must be real, therefore $\langle Q \rangle = \langle Q \rangle^*$
- but the complex conjugate reverses the order, meaning $\langle \Psi | \hat{Q} \Psi \rangle = \langle \hat{Q} \Psi | \Psi \rangle$
- all operators representing *observables* possess this property

• the expectation value of an operator Q(x, p) can be expressed as

$$\langle Q \rangle = \int \Psi^* \hat{Q} \Psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \left\langle \Psi \middle| \hat{Q} \Psi \right\rangle$$

- the outcome of a measurement must be real, therefore $\langle Q \rangle = \langle Q \rangle^*$
- but the complex conjugate reverses the order, meaning $\langle \Psi | \hat{Q} \Psi \rangle = \langle \hat{Q} \Psi | \Psi \rangle$
- all operators representing *observables* possess this property
- such operators are called *hermitian*

 in general, if you observe Q on a set of systems in the identical state Ψ, you do not obtain the same result each time

• 3 >

- in general, if you observe Q on a set of systems in the identical state Ψ, you do not obtain the same result each time
- this is the indeterminacy of quantum mechanics

- in general, if you observe Q on a set of systems in the identical state Ψ, you do not obtain the same result each time
- this is the indeterminacy of quantum mechanics
- a *determinate* state, for a given observable Q, is a special case, in which each observation gives the same value, q

• the standard deviation of an observable Q, in a determinate state would be zero

$$\sigma^{2} = \left\langle (\hat{Q} - \langle Q \rangle)^{2} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q)^{2} \Psi \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \right\rangle = 0$$

→ ∃→

2

• the standard deviation of an observable Q, in a determinate state would be zero

$$\sigma^{2} = \left\langle (\hat{Q} - \langle Q \rangle)^{2} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q)^{2} \Psi \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \right\rangle = 0$$

• the only function whose inner product with itself vanishes is zero

$$(\hat{Q} - q)\Psi = 0 \implies \hat{Q}\Psi = q\Psi$$

Image: A matrix

ъ

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics - Chapter 3 February 19, 2015 14 / 17

• the standard deviation of an observable Q, in a determinate state would be zero

$$\sigma^{2} = \left\langle (\hat{Q} - \langle Q \rangle)^{2} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q)^{2} \Psi \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \right\rangle = 0$$

• the only function whose inner product with itself vanishes is zero

$$(\hat{Q} - q)\Psi = 0 \implies \hat{Q}\Psi = q\Psi$$

• 3 >

• Ψ is an eigenfunction for \hat{Q} , with eigenvalue q

• the standard deviation of an observable Q, in a determinate state would be zero

$$\sigma^{2} = \left\langle (\hat{Q} - \langle Q \rangle)^{2} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q)^{2} \Psi \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \middle| (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \right\rangle = 0$$

• the only function whose inner product with itself vanishes is zero

$$(\hat{Q} - q)\Psi = 0 \implies \hat{Q}\Psi = q\Psi$$

- Ψ is an eigenfunction for \hat{Q} , with eigenvalue q
- all determinate states are eigenfunctions of \hat{Q}



• the collection of all eigenvalues of an operator is called its *spectrum*

• 3 >

2

Spectrum

- the collection of all eigenvalues of an operator is called its *spectrum*
- in the case where two or more linearly independent eigenfunctions share an eigenvalue, the spectrum is said to be *degenerate*

Hamiltonian

• stationary states are determinate states of the Hamiltonian

3 N A 3 N

2

Hamiltonian

- stationary states are determinate states of the Hamiltonian
- they are the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian, with eigenvalue $E{:}$ $\hat{H}\psi=E\psi$

A 3 >

÷.

Hamiltonian

- stationary states are determinate states of the Hamiltonian
- they are the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian, with eigenvalue $E{:}$ $\hat{H}\psi=E\psi$
- including the time dependence $\varphi(t)$ to make it Ψ does not change the fact that it is an eigenfunction of \hat{H}

Thank you!

Daniel Wysocki and Kenny Roffo Quantum Mechanics – Chapter 3 February 19, 2015 17 / 17

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

Э.